



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,289	(09/29/2003	William F. Micka	TUC920030045US1	5437
49080	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
DALE F. R			TIMBLIN, ROBERT M		
4231 S. FRE TUCSON, A		· · - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2167	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	_	10/675,289	MICKA ET AL.
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Robert M. Timblin	2167
The MAILIN Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED S WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within t Any reply received by t	ONGER, FROM THE MAILING I y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statu	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON ng date of this communication, even if timely file	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) This action 3) Since this a	pplication is in condition for allow	<u>September 2003</u> . is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	
Disposition of Claim	s		
4a) Of the all 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-2 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification Papers 10) ☑ The drawing Applicant mate Replacement	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/ ation is objected to by the Examin (s) filed on 29 September 2003 is y not request that any objection to the track drawing sheet(s) including the corre	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
•			
a) All b) Certif 2. Certif 3. Copie applic	ment is made of a claim for foreig Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority documer ied copies of the priority documer es of the certified copies of the pri	nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receive	tion No red in this National Stage
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/675,289

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

This office action corresponds to application 10/675,289.

Claims 1-20 have been examined and are pending prosecution.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 9/29/2003 and 4/19/2004 are being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 161/171, and 180-198 of figure 1, 398 and 394 of figure 3, and 398 of figure 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be

notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beal et al. ("Beal" hereinafter) (US 5,155,845) in view of **Conchran et al.** ("Conchran" hereinafter) (US 2002/0230859).

With respect to claims 1 7, and 13, **Beal** discloses A method to coordinate interconnected information storage and retrieval systems, wherein each of the information and storage systems is capable of communicating with one or more host computers, comprising the steps of:

providing one or more interconnected information storage and retrieval systems' (figs. 1-4).

'providing a plurality of controllers, wherein one or more of said plurality of controllers is disposed in each of said one or more information storage and Application/Control Number: 10/675,289

Art Unit: 2167

retrieval systems' as DASD subsystem comprises a plurality of data storage control units (DSC) (col. 2, lines 60-67).

'designating one of said plurality of controllers as a master controller and the remaining controllers as target controllers' as a write request received by one control unit to cause one volume in each set of recording means to write a copy of the received record (abstract). Also, the primary DSC causes a duplicate copy of the data record to be written onto the disk units of the other DSC (col. 4, lines 25-40).

'generating one or more master controller commands by said master controller' as a write command from a host sent to a primary DSC which in turn gets sent to other DSC (col. 4, lines 20-42).

Beal fails to disclose the limitation of

Cochran, however, discloses providing said one or more master controller commands to each of said target controllers, wherein said one or more master controller commands cause said target controllers to adjust the flow of data into and out of each of said one or more information storage and retrieval systems.

'providing said one or more master controller commands to each of said target controllers, wherein said one or more master controller commands cause said target controllers to adjust the flow of data into and out of each of said one or more information storage and retrieval systems' as suspending communications or canceling all accesses including reads and writes to the mirrored volumes (0028 and fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the teaching of Cochran would have given Beal's system a method so that data at the downstream end of the asynchronous link is not corrupted or unusable for purposes of disaster recovery in the event of lost data centers (0028).

The limitations of claims 7 and 13 have been rejected for the same reasons as this claim.

With respect to claims 2, 8, and 14, Sparks discloses 'one or more master controller commands causing each of said target controllers to stop accepting write operations from said one or more host computers' as the suspending operation (0028).

With respect to claims 3, 9, and 15, Cochran discloses 'each of said target controllers to form one or more consistency groups' as maintaining consistency groups (0053).

With respect to claims 4, 10, and 16, Cochran discloses 'causing each of said target controllers to stop providing data to said one or more remote storage locations' as the suspending operation (0028).

With respect to claims 5, 11, and 17, **Beal** discloses 'providing a host computer policy command to said master controller' as a host specifying a multiple copy service

Application/Control Number: 10/675,289

Art Unit: 2167

'providing at a first time by said master controller to each target controller one or more first master controller commands' as a sequence of commands (col. 19, lines 34-50).

'providing at a second time by said master controller to each target controller one or more second master controller commands' as a sequence of commands (col. 19, lines 34-50).

With respect to claims 6, 12, and 18, **Beal** discloses 'providing status information to said master controller by each target controller' as the host is notified of the completion of the execution of the write command (col. 3, lines 30-42).

With respect to claims 19 and 20, the limitations of these claims have been rejected for the same reasons as that of claims 1, 3, and 6, in view of the combination of **Beal/Cochran** since they contain essentially the same subject matter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5,212,784 Issued to **Sparks** on 5/18/1993. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (i.e. controllers coupled to multiple storage systems).

Art Unit: 2167

US 20040039888 A1 filed by **LeCrone et al.** on 8/21/2002. The subject matter

disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-20 (i.e. updating primary/target sites).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert M. Timblin whose telephone number is 571-272-

5627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Robert M. Timblin

Patent Examiner AU-2167

Page 7

RMT

3/24/2006